

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/611,479	PHAM ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Kenneth Thompson	3672	

All Participants:

(1) Kenneth Thompson.

(2) Tim Curington.

Status of Application: Claims amended after Non-final Rejection

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 11 May 2005

Time: 3:15

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

3-5, 9, 13 and 17

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 11 MAY 25
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner and Applicant's Attorney agreed to cancel claim 4 and amend claim 3, via Examiner's Amendment, to incorporate all the limitations of claim 4, indicated to contain allowable subject matter in the non-final rejection. Agreement was made to cancel claim 9, a duplicate of claim 4, which indirectly depends from claim 3. Agreement was made to amend claims 5, 13 and 17 to recite relative movement between the drive system and transfer device or bar.